IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SECOND APPEAL ST.NO. 15940 OF 2003

Anandrao Uttamrao Deshmukh. ... Appellant.

Versus.

Sou.Nirmala Anantrao Deshmukh. ... Respondent.

Shri V.B.Rajure for the Appellant.

CORAM : ABHAY S. OKA, J.

DATED : 26th April, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Objections are waived. Heard Shri Rajure for the Appellant. The decree passed by the trial Court is for maintenance under section 18 of the Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act 1956 in favour of the Respondent-wife. After having heard Shri Rajure appearing for the Appellant and after having perused the impugned Judgments and Decrees, I find that there is no error in the findings of fact recorded by the Courts below. Considering the status and income of the Appellant, the order for payment of maintenance has been passed. No substantial question of law arises. Accordingly the Second Appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Judge.